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Directed containers
(and directed polynomials)
Container syntax of datatypes

- Many *datatypes* can be represented in terms of
  - *shapes* and
  - *positions* in shapes

- Containers provide us with a handy *syntax* to analyse them

**Examples:** lists, streams, colists, trees, zippers, etc.
Directing containers?

- Containers often exhibit a natural notion of subshape.
- Natural questions arise:
  - What is the appropriate specialisation of containers?
  - Does this admit a nice categorical theory?
  - What else is this structure useful for?
A directed container is given by

- \( S : \text{Set} \)
- \( P : S \to \text{Set} \)

and

- \( \downarrow : \Pi s : S. Ps \to S \) (subshape)
- \( \circ : \Pi \{ s : S \}. Ps \) (root position)
- \( \oplus : \Pi \{ s : S \}. \Pi p : Ps. P (s \downarrow p) \to Ps \) (subshape positions)

such that

- \( s \downarrow \circ = s \)
- \( s \downarrow (p \oplus p') = (s \downarrow p) \downarrow p' \)
- \( p \oplus \{ s \} \circ = p \)
- \( \circ \{ s \} \oplus p = p \)
- \( (p \oplus \{ s \} p') \oplus p'' = p \oplus (p' \oplus p'') \)
Directed containers

• A directed container is given by
  • $S : \text{Set}$
  • $P : S \to \text{Set}$

and

• $\downarrow : \prod s : S. P_s \to S$
• $\circ : \prod \{s : S\} . P_s$
• $\oplus : \prod \{s : S\} . \prod p : P_s . P(s \downarrow p) \to P_s$

such that

• $s \downarrow \circ = s$
• $s \downarrow (p \oplus p') = (s \downarrow p) \downarrow p'$
• $p \oplus \{s\} \circ = p$
• $\circ \{s\} \oplus p = p$
• $(p \oplus \{s\} p') \oplus p'' = p \oplus (p' \oplus p'')$
Directed polynomials

- A polynomial (in one variable) is given by

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \xleftarrow{!} & \overline{P} \xrightarrow{s} S \xrightarrow{!} 1
\end{array}
\]

where

- \(S : \text{Set}\)
- \(\overline{P} : \text{Set}\)

- Polynomials correspond to containers via \(\overline{P} \cong \sum s : S. P s\)
Directed polynomials

• A polynomial (in one variable) is given by

\[ 1 \leftarrow \bar{P} \overset{s}{\longrightarrow} S \overset{!}{\rightarrow} 1 \]

where

• \( S \): \textbf{Set}
• \( \bar{P} \): \textbf{Set}

• Polynomials correspond to containers via \( \bar{P} \cong \Sigma s : S. P s \)

• A directed polynomial is given by

  - \( s : \bar{P} \rightarrow S \) (a polynomial)
  - \( \downarrow : \bar{P} \rightarrow S \)
  - \( o : S \rightarrow \bar{P} \) s.t. \( s \circ o = \text{id}_S \) and \( \downarrow \circ o = \text{id}_S \)
  - \( \ldots \)

• def. is remarkably symmetric in \( s \) and \( \downarrow \) (more on this later)
Examples: non-empty lists and streams

- **Non-empty lists** are represented as
  - \( S \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Nat} \)
  - \( Ps \overset{\text{def}}{=} [0..s] \)
  - \( s \downarrow p \overset{\text{def}}{=} s - p \)
  - \( o\{s\} \overset{\text{def}}{=} 0 \)
  - \( p \oplus\{s\} p' \overset{\text{def}}{=} p + p' \)

- **Streams** are represented similarly
  - \( S \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1 \)
  - \( P* \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Nat} \)

- Another example is non-empty lists with **cyclic shifts**
Examples: non-empty lists with a focus

- **Zippers** – tree-like data-structures consisting of
  - a context and a focal subtree

- **Non-empty lists with a focus**

  - \( S \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Nat} \times \mathbb{Nat} \)
  - \( P (s_0, s_1) \overset{\text{def}}{=} [-s_0..s_1] = [-s_0..-1] \cup [0..s_1] \)

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
-4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

- \((s_0, s_1) \downarrow p \overset{\text{def}}{=} (s_0 + p, s_1 - p)\)
- \(o_{\{s_0, s_1\}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} 0\)
- \(p \oplus \{s_0, s_1\} p' \overset{\text{def}}{=} p + p'\)
Directed container morphisms

A directed container morphism

\[
t \triangleleft q : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, \circ, \oplus) \longrightarrow (S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', \circ', \oplus')
\]

is given by

- \( t : S \rightarrow S' \)
- \( q : \prod \{ s : S \}. P' (t s) \rightarrow P s \)

such that

- \( t (s \downarrow q p) = t s \downarrow' p \)
- \( o_s = q (o'_{t s}) \)
- \( q p \oplus_s q p' = q (p \oplus'_{t s} p') \)

Identities and composition are defined component-wise

Directed containers form a category \( \text{DCont} \)
Directed container morphisms

A directed container morphism

\[ t \triangleleft q : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \longrightarrow (S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus') \]

is given by

- \( t : S \rightarrow S' \)
- \( q : \prod \{ s : S \}. P' (t \, s) \rightarrow P \, s \)

such that

- \( t (s \downarrow q \, p) = t \, s \downarrow' p \)
- \( o_{\{ s \}} = q (o'_{\{ t \, s \}}) \)
- \( q \, p \oplus_{\{ s \}} q \, p' = q (p \oplus'_{\{ t \, s \}} p') \)

Identities and composition are defined component-wise

Directed containers form a category \textbf{DCont}
Directed containers = containers \ intersection comonads
Interpretation of directed containers

• Any directed container

\[(S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, \circ, \oplus)\]

defines a functor \(\text{comonad}\)

\[
[S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, \circ, \oplus]^c \overset{\text{def}}{=} (D, \varepsilon, \delta)
\]

where

• \(D : \textbf{Set} \rightarrow \textbf{Set}\)

\[D X \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma s : S. (P s \rightarrow X)\]

• \(\varepsilon_X : D X \rightarrow X\)

\[\varepsilon_X (s, v) \overset{\text{def}}{=} v(\circ \{s\})\]

• \(\delta_X : D X \rightarrow D D X\)

\[\delta_X (s, v) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (s, \lambda p. (s \downarrow p, \lambda p'. v (p \oplus \{s\} p'))))\]
Interpretation of directed containers

• Any directed container

\((S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)\)

defines a comonad

\[ [S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus]^{dc} \overset{\text{def}}{=} (D, \varepsilon, \delta) \]

where

• \( D : \textbf{Set} \longrightarrow \textbf{Set} \)

\[ D X \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma s : S. (P s \rightarrow X) \]

• \( \varepsilon_X : D X \longrightarrow X \)

\[ \varepsilon_X (s, v) \overset{\text{def}}{=} v (o\{s\}) \]

• \( \delta_X : D X \longrightarrow D D X \)

\[ \delta_X (s, v) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (s, \lambda p. (s \downarrow p, \lambda p'. v (p \oplus\{s\} p'))) \]
Interpretation of dir. cont. morphisms

- Any directed container morphism
  \[ t \triangleleft q : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \rightarrow (S' \triangleleft P') \]

defines a natural transformation

\[ [[t \triangleleft q]]^c : [[S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus]]^c \rightarrow [[S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus']]^c \]

by

- \[ [[t \triangleleft q]]^c_X : \Sigma s : S. (P s \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \Sigma s' : S'. (P' s' \rightarrow X) \]

- \[ [\_]^c \] preserves the identities and composition

- \[ [\_]^c \] is a functor from \( \textbf{Cont} \) to \( [\text{Set}, \text{Set}] \)
Any directed container morphism

\[ t \triangleleft q : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \rightarrow (S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus') \]

defines a natural transformation/comonad morphism

\[ [t \triangleleft q]^{dc} : [S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus]^{dc} \rightarrow [S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus']^{dc} \]

by

\[ [t \triangleleft q]^{dc}_X : \Sigma s : S. (P s \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \Sigma s' : S'. (P' s' \rightarrow X) \]

\[ [t \triangleleft q]^{dc}_X (s, v) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (t s, v \circ q_{\{s\}}) \]

\[ [\_\_]^{dc} \text{ preserves the identities and composition} \]

\[ [\_\_]^{dc} \text{ is a functor from } \mathbf{DCont} \text{ to } \mathbf{Comonads}(\mathbf{Set}) \]
Interpretation is fully faithful

• Every natural transformation

\[ \tau : \left[ S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus \right]^{dc} \rightarrow \left[ S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus' \right]^{c} \]

defines a directed container morphism

\[ \lceil \tau^{-1} \rceil^{c} : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \rightarrow (S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus') \]

satisfying

• \[ \lceil t \triangleleft q \rceil^{dc} = t \triangleleft q \]

• \[ \lceil \tau^{-1} \rceil^{c} = \tau \]

• \[ \lceil - \rceil^{c} \] is a fully faithful functor
Interpretation is fully faithful

- Every natural transformation/comonad morphism
  \[ \tau : [S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus]^{dc} \rightarrow [S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus']^{dc} \]

defines a directed container morphism

\[ \Gamma_{\tau} : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \rightarrow (S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus') \]

satisfying

- \[ \Gamma [t \triangleleft q]^{dc} = t \triangleleft q \]
- \[ [\Gamma \tau]^{dc} = \tau \]

- \[ [\_]^{dc} \] is a fully faithful functor
Directed containers $\equiv$ cons. $\cap$ cmnds.

- Any comonad $(D, \varepsilon, \delta)$, such that $D = [S \triangleleft P]^c$, determines
  \[
  \lceil(D, \varepsilon, \delta), S \triangleleft P\rceil \overset{\text{def}}{=} (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)
  \]

- $[-]$ satisfies
  \[
  \llbracket (D, \varepsilon, \delta), S \triangleleft P \rrbracket^{dc} = (D, \varepsilon, \delta)
  \]
  \[
  \llbracket [S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus]^{dc}, S \triangleleft P \rrbracket = (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)
  \]

- The following is a pullback in $\mathbf{CAT}$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{DCont} & \xrightarrow{U} & \text{Cont} \\
[-]^d & \downarrow & \downarrow \text{f.f.} \\
\lbrack \text{Comonads(Set)} & \xrightarrow{U} & \lbrack \text{Set, Set} \rbrack
\end{array}
$$
Constructions on directed containers
Constructions on directed containers

- Coproduct of directed containers
- Cofree directed containers
- Focussing of a container
- Strict directed containers and their categorical product
- Distributive laws between directed containers
- Composition of directed containers

**Ongoing:** Bidirected containers (dep. typed group structure)

\[ (-)^{-1} : \prod\{s : S\}. \Pi p : P s. P (s \downarrow p) + \text{two equations} \]

Which comonads do these correspond to? Hopf algebra like?
Update monads
(update the state instead of simply overwriting it!)
Cointerpretation of (directed) containers

- In addition to the interpretation functor

$\llbracket - \rrbracket^c : \text{Cont} \rightarrow [\text{Set}, \text{Set}]$

one can also define a cointerpretation functor

$\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle^c : \text{Cont}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow [\text{Set}, \text{Set}]$

given by

$\langle\langle S \triangleleft P \rangle\rangle^c X \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{s : S} (P s \times X)$

which lifts to $\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle^{dc}$, making the following a pullback in $\text{CAT}$.
Dependently typed update monads

- In more detail, given a directed container \((S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)\) the corresponding dependently typed update monad is given by

  \[
  T : \text{Set} \longrightarrow \text{Set} \\
  T X \overset{\text{def}}{=} \langle\langle S \triangleleft P\rangle\rangle^c X = \prod_{s : S} (P s \times X)
  \]

  \[
  \eta_X : X \longrightarrow T X \\
  \eta_X x \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lambda s. (o\{s\}, x)
  \]

  \[
  \mu_X : T T X \longrightarrow T X \\
  \mu_X f \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lambda s. \begin{array}{l}
  \text{let } (p, g) = f s \text{ in} \\
  \text{let } (p', x) = g (s \downarrow p) \text{ in} (p \oplus\{s\} p', x)
  \end{array}
  \]

- Intuitively

  - \(S\) – set of states
  - \((P, o, \oplus)\) – dependently typed monoid of updates

- Use cases: non-overflowing buffers, non-underflowing stacks
Dependently typed update monads

- The dependently typed update monad

\[ T \ X \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Pi s : S. (P s \times X) \]

arises as the free-model monad for a Lawvere theory, whose models are given by a carrier \( M : \textbf{Set} \) and two operations

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{lkp} : (S \rightarrow M) &\rightarrow M \\
\text{upd} : (\Pi s : S. P s) \times M &\rightarrow M
\end{align*}
\]

subject to three natural equations

- \( \text{lkp} (\lambda s. \text{upd}_{\lambda s. o_{\{s\}}} (m)) = m \)
- \( \text{lkp} (\lambda s. \text{upd}_f (\text{lkp} (\lambda s'. m_{s'}))) = \text{lkp}(\lambda s. \text{upd}_f (m (s \downarrow (f s)))) \)
- \( \text{upd}_f (\text{upd}_g (m)) = \text{upd}_{\lambda s. (f s) \oplus (g (s \downarrow f s))} (m) \)
Simply typed update monads

- If $P : \textbf{Set}$, then we get a simply typed update monad

$$T_X \overset{\text{def}}{=} S \rightarrow (P \times X)$$

- In this case,
  
  - $(P, \circ, \oplus)$ is a monoid in the standard sense
  
  - $\downarrow : S \times P \rightarrow S$ is an action of $(P, \circ, \oplus)$ on $S$

- This monad is the compatible composition of the monads

$$T_{\text{reader}} X \overset{\text{def}}{=} S \rightarrow X \quad T_{\text{writer}} X \overset{\text{def}}{=} P \times X$$

- There is a one-to-one correspondence between

  - monoid actions $\downarrow : S \times P \rightarrow S$
  
  - distributive laws $\theta : T_{\text{writer}} \circ T_{\text{reader}} \rightarrow T_{\text{reader}} \circ T_{\text{writer}}$
Update lenses
(the dual of update monads)
**Update lenses**

- A dependently typed update lens is a coalgebra for the comonad

\[ DX \overset{\text{def}}{=} [S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus]^\text{dc} X = \Sigma s : S. (P s \to X) \]

that is, a carrier \( M : \textbf{Set} \) and operations

\[ \text{lkp} : M \to S \quad \text{upd} : (\prod s : S. P s) \times M \to M \]

satisfying natural equations relating lkp and upd

- Equivalently, they are comodels for the Law. th. shown earlier

- Intuitively
  - \( M \) – set of sources, i.e., the database
  - \( S \) – set of views
  - \((P, o, \oplus)\) – dependently typed monoid of source updates
Directed containers as (small) categories
Directed containers as (small) categories

- Given a directed container \((S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)\) we get a corresponding small category \(C(S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)\) as follows
  - \(\text{ob}(C) \overset{\text{def}}{=} S\)
  - \(C(s, s') \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{p : P} s \downarrow p = s'\)
  - identities are given using \(o\)
  - composition is given using \(\oplus\)

- And vice versa, every small category \(C\) gives us a corresponding directed container \((S_C \triangleleft P_C, \downarrow_C, o_C, \oplus_C)\)

- But then, is it simply the case that \(\text{Cat} \cong \text{DCont}\)?
Directed containers as (small) categories

- Given a directed container \((S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)\) we get a corresponding small category \(C_{(S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus)}\) as follows
  - \(\text{ob}(C) \overset{\text{def}}{=} S\)
  - \(C(s, s') \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{p : P} s.(s \downarrow p = s')\)
  - identities are given using \(o\)
  - composition is given using \(\oplus\)

- And vice versa, every small category \(C\) gives us a corresponding directed container \((S_C \triangleleft P_C, \downarrow_C, o_C, \oplus_C)\)

- But then, is it simply the case that \(\textbf{Cat} \simeq \textbf{DCont}\)? NO!
Directed container morphisms as cofunctors

- Given a directed container morphism
  \[ t \triangleleft q : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \longrightarrow (S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus') \]

  we do not get a functor, but instead a cofunctor \[ \text{[Aguiar'97]} \]

  \[ F_{t\triangleleft q} : \mathcal{C}(S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus') \]

  given by a mapping of objects

  \[ (F_{t\triangleleft q})_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} t : \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{ob}(\mathcal{D}) \]

  and a lifting operation on morphisms

  \[ s \quad \xrightarrow{(F_{t\triangleleft q})_1(s,p) \overset{\text{def}}{=} q\{s\} p} \quad \otimes \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{C} \]

  \[ (F_{t\triangleleft q})_0(s) \quad \xrightarrow[p\uparrow \_{s'}]{\quad \nearrow} \quad s' \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D} \]
Constructions on dir. containers revisited

- On the one hand, we can relate existing constructions on directed containers to constructions (small) categories, e.g.,
  - the symmetry of the definition of directed polynomials in $s: \overline{P} \to S$ and $\downarrow: \overline{P} \to S$ manifests as every category having an opposite category
  - bidirected containers with $(-)^{-1}$ correspond to groupoids

- On the other hand, the (small) categories view also provides new constructions on directed containers and comonads, e.g.,
  - factorisation of directed container/comonad morphisms
Given a directed container morphism

$$t \triangleleft q : (S \triangleleft P, \downarrow, o, \oplus) \rightarrow (S' \triangleleft P', \downarrow', o', \oplus')$$

we can factorise $$(t \triangleleft q)$$ as $$(t \triangleleft \lambda s. \text{id}_{P'(t \cdot s)}) \circ (\text{id}_S \triangleleft q)$$ where

inspired by the full image factorisation of ordinary functors

Notably, this works for all comonads that preserve pullbacks!
**Conclusion**

- So, directed containers, *what are they good for?*
- Well, directed containers and their morphisms
  - describe datastructures with a notion of **subshape**
  - characterise containers that carry a **comonad** structure
  - admit a variety of natural **constructions**
  - give a natural updates-based refinement of the **state** monad
  - give a natural updates-based refinement of asymmetric **lenses**
  - provide a type-theoretic syntax for **categories** and **cofunctors**