

# An Enriched Perspective on Differentiable Stacks

Benjamin MacAdam  
Joint work with Jonathan Gallagher

July 9, 2019

# Differentiable Stacks

## Definition

A *split differentiable stack* is a  $(2,1)$ -sheaf

$$\mathcal{X} : \mathbf{Man} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gpd}$$

with respect to the open cover topology on  $\mathbf{SMan}$  with a morphism  $y(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that

- 1 For all  $y(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ,  $y(N) \times_{\mathcal{X}} y(M)$  is a manifold.
- 2 For all  $y(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ,  $y(N) \times_{\mathcal{X}} y(M) \rightarrow y(N)$  is a submersion

There is an embedding of smooth manifolds into the category of stacks, using the Yoneda lemma for  $(2,1)$ -categories.

# Tangent Bundle of a Differentiable Stack

There is a tangent bundle construction on the category of differentiable stacks, due to Hepworth. It is constructed via a Kan extension:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{SMan} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbf{SMan} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{DStack} \\
 & \searrow & & & \nearrow T^* \\
 & & & \mathbf{DStack} & 
 \end{array}$$

This has the property that  $y \circ T \cong T^* \circ y$ .

# Problems

These Kan extension definitions of the tangent bundle can be quite challenging to work with.

- Kan extension isn't a monoidal functor (so  $T^*T^*$  need not equal  $(TT)^*$ )
- Addition of tangent vectors is not well defined in general.
- It's not clear whether symmetry of partial derivatives holds.

**Possible approach:** Identify a full subcategory of *microlinear* stacks

## Goal

Refine the notion of a differentiable stack based on enriched category theory so that it has a well-behaved tangent bundle (in the sense of tangent categories).

## 1 Motivation

- Background
- Tangent Structure
- Overview of Talk

## 2 Tangent Categories

- Classical Definition
- Category of Weil Algebras
- Equivalent Definitions

## 3 Two Generalizations

- Tangent sheaves
- (Strict) Tangent 2-categories

## 4 Tangent Stacks

## Definition (Rosicky, Cockett&Cruttwell)

A *tangent category* is a category  $\mathbb{X}$  is given by:

- A natural additive bundle  $(T, p, 0, +)$ , where pullback powers of  $p$  are preserved by  $T$ .
- Natural transformations  $c : T^2 \Rightarrow T^2$ ,  $\ell : T \Rightarrow T^2$ .

satisfying some coherences.

The flip  $c$  represents symmetry of mixed partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y}(a, b) \cdot (u, v).$$

The map  $\ell$  is universal, and represents linearity of the vector argument  $\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x}(a) \cdot (v)$ .

## Examples of tangent categories

- The category of smooth manifolds
- The microlinear objects of a model of Synthetic Differential Geometry
- Examples arising from computer science (e.g. the coKleisli category, or as JS will tell you, the co-Eilenberg-Moore category of a monoidal differential category).

## Some Successes of Tangent Categories

- Very clear description of Sector Form cohomology, leading to some new observations. (Cruttwell & Lucyshyn-Wright)
- New observations on connections and affine manifolds.
- Related to the semantics of differentiable programming languages.

# Weil Algebras

R-Weil algebras: *infinitesimal thickening* of  $R$ ,  $(R[x]/x^2)$

## Definition

The category of *Weil algebras* is the full subcategory of  $R\mathbf{Alg}/R$  of  $\pi : W \rightarrow R$  such that:

- $\ker(\pi)$  is nilpotent.
- The underlying  $R$ -module of  $W$  is  $R^n$

## Proposition

- Every Weil algebra may be written  $R[x_i]/I$
- Coproducts:  $R[x_i]/I \otimes R[y_j]/J = R[x_i, y_j]/(I \cup J)$
- Products:  $R[x_i]/I \times R[y_j]/J = R[x_i, y_j]/(I \cup J \cup \{x_i y_j\})$
- $R$  is a zero object



## Proposition (Leung)

Let  $W := R[x]/x^2$ . The category of Weil algebras is a tangent category, with  $T(-) := W \otimes -$ .

We can restrict our attention to powers of  $W$  to construct the *free tangent category*:

## Definition (Leung)

The category  $\mathbf{Weil}_1$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathbb{N} - \mathbf{Weil}$  whose objects are of the form:  $W^{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes W^{n_k}$

Note that this category has binary pullbacks, and they are preserved by  $W \otimes -$ .

## Remark

We regard  $(\mathbf{Weil}_1, \otimes, R)$  as a monoidal category.

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent.*

- 1 A tangent category  $\mathcal{X}$
- 2 A monoidal functor  $\mathbf{Weil}_1 \rightarrow [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}]$  sending binary pullbacks to pointwise limits (Leung)
- 3 An actegory  $\mathbf{Weil}_1 \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  preserving binary pullbacks in  $\mathbf{Weil}_1$  (Leung)
- 4 A category enriched in  $\mathcal{E} := \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbf{Weil}_1)$  with powers by representable functors (Garner).

(3) to (4) follows by a theorem due to Wood.

# Two things

We need two generalizations to move forwards:

## Sheaves

The sheaf condition is at the core of the classical definition of a differentiable stack, is already an enriched concept. How can we generalize this?

## Strict Tangent $(2,1)$ -categories

We want a definition of 2-category with tangent structure

The following theorem is from Borceux and Quinteiro

### Theorem

*The following are equivalent for  $\mathcal{C}$  enriched in a regular, finitely presented  $\mathcal{V}$*

- *Grothendieck topologies on  $\mathcal{C}$ .*
- *Left-exact idempotent monads on  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}]$ .*
- *Universal closure operations on  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}]$ .*

But the category  $\mathcal{E}$  is not regular!

## Definition (Tangent sheaf)

A tangent sheaf on a tangent category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EM-algebra of a left-exact idempotent monad  $M$  on  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}]$ .

We may apply the following theorem due to Wolff:

## Theorem (Wolff)

*Sheaves commute with models of enriched sketches.*

Using forthcoming work, we have:

## Corollary (Gallagher, Lucyshyn-Wright, M.)

*The category of differential objects in  $Sh(M)$  is equivalent to a category of sheaves into differential objects of  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

## Definition

A *strict tangent 2-category* is a category enriched in

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} := \text{Mod}(\text{Weil}_1 \otimes \text{TGpd}, \text{Set})$$

with powers by representable functors  $\text{Weil}_1 \rightarrow \text{Set} \hookrightarrow \text{Gpd}$ .

## Slogan

A strict tangent structure on a (2,1)-category is *property* of the tangent structure on the underlying category.

# Tangent 2-categories as an actegory

## Proposition

For every 2-functor  $\mathbf{Weil}_1 \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  which satisfies the coherences of an actegory *on the nose*, there is a corresponding category enriched in  $Mod(\mathbf{Weil}_1 \otimes \mathbf{Gpd})$  with powers by representables  $\mathbf{Weil}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Gpd}$ ,

For the implication, the new hom is defined the same way:

$$\mathcal{X}(A, B)(V) := \mathcal{X}(A, V \alpha B) \in \mathbf{Gpd}$$

note that we can identify a functor  $\mathbf{Weil}_1$  into  $\mathbf{Gpd}$  as a 1-category with a 2-functor where we treat  $\mathbf{Weil}_1$  as a 2-category.

# Tangent (2,1)-Monad

**Question:** Why is it insufficient to have a (2,1)-category whose underlying category is a tangent category?

**Answer:** Consider the underlying (2,1)-category of a tangent (2,1)-category  $\mathcal{K}$ , there is the *tangent 2-monad*

$$y(R[x, y]/x^2, y^2) \dashv M \xrightarrow{x, y \mapsto z} y(R[z]/z^2) \dashv M$$

$$M \xrightarrow{0} y(R[z]/z^2) \dashv M$$

By the following theorem we may regard being a (2,1)-monad (or 2-monad) as a property of the underlying monad.

## Theorem (Power)

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a (2,1)-category with powers and copowers by  $\rightarrow$ , then **any** 1-monad on  $U(\mathcal{C})$  has at most one enrichment.



We also see that a tangent (2,1)-category has a 2-commutative monoid of vector spaces.

### Definition

A map  $X : 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, y(x^2) \pitchfork A)$  that is a section of  $p_A$  on the nose is a *geometric vector field* - these form a commutative monoid.

Note that  $X$  is an “object” of  $\mathcal{C}(A, y(x^2) \pitchfork A) : \mathit{Gpd}(\mathcal{E})$ , and given 2-cells  $\gamma : X \Rightarrow X', \psi : Y \Rightarrow Y'$ , we may also form

$\psi + \gamma : X + Y \Rightarrow X' + Y'$ .

$$A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{X+Y} \\ \psi + \gamma \\ \xrightarrow{X'+Y'} \end{array} TA := A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(X,Y)} \\ (\psi, \gamma) \\ \xrightarrow{(X',Y')} \end{array} T_2A \xrightarrow{+} TA$$

## Examples

- Lie groupoids in a tangent category.
- Restriction tangent categories is a tangent 2-category (the 2-cells are  $\leq$ ).
- A 2-category with 2-biproducts.

## Non-Examples

Lex with  $T = \text{Mod}(ABun, -)$ . The addition is given by fibered biproducts of additive bundles, so addition is only associated *up to a coherent isomorphism*.

## Remark

There is a functor  $I : \text{TangCat} \hookrightarrow \text{Tang-(2,1)-Cat}$  by lifting sets up to discrete groupoids.

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a tangent 1-category, and  $M$  be an left-exact idempotent  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ -monad on  $[I(\mathcal{X}), \hat{\mathcal{E}}]$ . An EM-algebra of  $M$  is a *tangent stack* over  $M$ .

## Theorem

The (2,1)-category of tangent stacks on a tangent category is a (2,1)-tangent category.

## Conclusions and Future Work

We now have a notion of “tangent stack” (and geometric tangent stack) that has a well behaved tangent bundle.

What can we do with this/what is left to do?

- How do tangent stacks relate to tangent fibrations?
- How can we weaken our definition of tangent 2-category, and what is the relevant coherence theorem.
- Sector form cohomology works on tangent stacks without any significant modification (differential forms on stacks are hard!).