Coherence modulo and double groupoids

Benjamin Dupont

Institut Camille Jordan, Université Lyon 1

joint work with Philippe Malbos

Category Theory 2019

Edinburgh, 11 July 2019

I. Introduction and motivations

II. Double groupoids

III. Polygraphs modulo

IV. Coherence modulo

I. Introduction and motivations

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日・9000

 Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.

 Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Example. Computation of syzygies.

- Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.
- **Example.** Computation of syzygies.
 - Squier's coherence theorem: basis of syzygies from a convergent presentation.

- Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.
- **Example.** Computation of syzygies.
 - Squier's coherence theorem: basis of syzygies from a convergent presentation.

- Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.
- **Example.** Computation of syzygies.
 - Squier's coherence theorem: basis of syzygies from a convergent presentation.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.
- **Example.** Computation of syzygies.
 - Squier's coherence theorem: basis of syzygies from a convergent presentation.



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.
- **Example.** Computation of syzygies.
 - Squier's coherence theorem: basis of syzygies from a convergent presentation.



▶ If a group $G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ is presented as a monoid $M = \langle X \coprod \overline{X} \mid R \cup \{xx^- \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} 1, x^- x \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha_x}} 1\}$,

- Algebraic rewriting: constructive methods to study algebraic structures presented by generators and relations.
- **Example.** Computation of syzygies.
 - Squier's coherence theorem: basis of syzygies from a convergent presentation.



▶ If a group $G = \langle X | R \rangle$ is presented as a monoid $M = \langle X \coprod \overline{X} | R \cup \{xx^{-} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{x}} 1, x^{-}x \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha_{x}}} 1\}$, the confluence diagram



is an artefact induced by the algebraic structure and should not be considered as a syzygy.

 Objective: Study diagrammatic algebras arising in representation theory using algebraic rewriting.

 Objective: Study diagrammatic algebras arising in representation theory using algebraic rewriting.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras for categorification of quantum groups;
- Temperley-Lieb algebras in statistichal mechanics;
- Brauer algebras and Birman-Wenzl algebras in knot theory.

 Objective: Study diagrammatic algebras arising in representation theory using algebraic rewriting.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras for categorification of quantum groups;
- Temperley-Lieb algebras in statistichal mechanics;
- Brauer algebras and Birman-Wenzl algebras in knot theory.

Main questions:

- Coherence theorems;
- Categorification constructive results;
- Computation of linear bases for these algebras by rewriting.

- Objective: Study diagrammatic algebras arising in representation theory using algebraic rewriting.
 - Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras for categorification of quantum groups;
 - Temperley-Lieb algebras in statistichal mechanics;
 - Brauer algebras and Birman-Wenzl algebras in knot theory.

Main questions:

- Coherence theorems;
- Categorification constructive results;
- Computation of linear bases for these algebras by rewriting.

Structural rules of these algebras make the study of local confluence complicated.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Objective: Study diagrammatic algebras arising in representation theory using algebraic rewriting.
 - Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras for categorification of quantum groups;
 - Temperley-Lieb algebras in statistichal mechanics;
 - Brauer algebras and Birman-Wenzl algebras in knot theory.

Main questions:

- Coherence theorems;
- Categorification constructive results;
- Computation of linear bases for these algebras by rewriting.

Structural rules of these algebras make the study of local confluence complicated.

Example: Isotopy relations

$$\bigcap = | = \bigcup \qquad \qquad \frown = \blacklozenge = \bigcup$$

.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Let *P* be the rewriting system on the set of diagrams composed of:

Let *P* be the rewriting system on the set of diagrams composed of:



Let P be the rewriting system on the set of diagrams composed of:

submitted to relations:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ \text{for } \mu \text{ in } \{0,1\} \\ \hline \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Let *P* be the rewriting system on the set of diagrams composed of:

submitted to relations:

$$\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array}, \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array}, \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array}, \quad \text{for } \mu \text{ in } \{0,1\} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ \mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left$$

If no rewriting modulo:



Let *P* be the rewriting system on the set of diagrams composed of:

submitted to relations:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ \text{for } \mu \text{ in } \{0,1\} \\ \hline \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mu \ \text{for } \mu \text{ in } \{0,1\} \\ \hline \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu$$

If no rewriting modulo:



Not confluent !

Rewriting system R:

Coherence and confluence results in *n*-categories.

- Rewriting system R:
 - Coherence and confluence results in *n*-categories.
- **•** Rewriting modulo: we consider a rewriting system R and a set of equations E.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Rewriting system R:
 - Coherence and confluence results in *n*-categories.
- **Rewriting modulo**: we consider a rewriting system R and a set of equations E.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Three paradigms of rewriting modulo:

- Rewriting system R:
 - Coherence and confluence results in *n*-categories.
- **•** Rewriting modulo: we consider a rewriting system R and a set of equations E.
- Three paradigms of rewriting modulo:
 - Rewriting with R modulo E, Huet '80.



- Rewriting system R:
 - Coherence and confluence results in *n*-categories.
- **E** Rewriting modulo: we consider a rewriting system R and a set of equations E.
- Three paradigms of rewriting modulo:
 - Rewriting with R modulo E, Huet '80.



ERE: Rewriting with R on E-equivalence classes

- Rewriting system R:
 - Coherence and confluence results in n-categories.
- Rewriting modulo: we consider a rewriting system R and a set of equations E.
- Three paradigms of rewriting modulo:
 - Rewriting with R modulo E, Huet '80.



ERE: Rewriting with R on E-equivalence classes



- Rewriting system R:
 - Coherence and confluence results in n-categories.
- Rewriting modulo: we consider a rewriting system R and a set of equations E.
- Three paradigms of rewriting modulo:
 - Rewriting with R modulo E, Huet '80.



ERE: Rewriting with R on E-equivalence classes



Rewriting with any system S such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq {}_{E}R_{E}$, Jouannaud - Kirchner '84.

- Rewriting system R:
 - Coherence and confluence results in n-categories.
- Rewriting modulo: we consider a rewriting system R and a set of equations E.
- Three paradigms of rewriting modulo:
 - Rewriting with R modulo E, Huet '80.



ERE: Rewriting with R on E-equivalence classes



Rewriting with any system S such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq {}_{E}R_{E}$, Jouannaud - Kirchner '84.

Main interest and results for ER.

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 & u & \stackrel{E^{R}}{\longrightarrow} v \\
 & E & & & & \\
 & u' & \stackrel{R}{\longrightarrow} v \\
 & & & & & \\
 & & & & & \\
\end{array}$$

II. Double groupoids

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ()

- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^C, \partial_+^C, \circ_C, i_C)$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- ► A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^{\mathsf{C}}, \partial_+^{\mathsf{C}}, \circ_{\mathsf{C}}, i_{\mathsf{C}})$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 $(C_0)_0$

- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- ► A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^{\mathsf{C}}, \partial_+^{\mathsf{C}}, \circ_{\mathsf{C}}, i_{\mathsf{C}})$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへで

 $(\mathbf{C}_0)_0$ $(\mathbf{C}_0)_1 \downarrow$ $(\mathbf{C}_0)_0$

- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^C, \partial_+^C, \circ_C, i_C)$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C_0)_0 & (C_0)_0 \\ c_0)_1 & & & & \\ (C_0)_0 & (C_0)_0 \end{array}$$

◆□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- ► A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^C, \partial_+^C, \circ_C, i_C)$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$

$$C_0)_1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow (C_0)_0$$

$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへで
- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- ► A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^C, \partial_+^C, \circ_C, i_C)$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$
$$(C_0)_1 \downarrow (C_1)_1 \downarrow (C_0)_1$$
$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{\bigvee}_{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへで

- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- ► A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^C, \partial_+^C, \circ_C, i_C)$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$
$$(C_0)_1 \bigvee (C_1)_1 \bigvee (C_0)_1$$
$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$

It gives four related categories

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}^{vo} &:= (\mathbf{C}^v, \mathbf{C}^o, \partial_{-,0}^v, \partial_{+,0}^v, \circ^v, i_0^v), \qquad \mathbf{C}^{ho} &:= (\mathbf{C}^h, \mathbf{C}^o, \partial_{-,0}^h, \partial_{+,0}^h, \circ^h, i_0^h), \\ \mathbf{C}^{sv} &:= (\mathbf{C}^s, \mathbf{C}^v, \partial_{-,1}^v, \partial_{+,1}^v, \circ^v, i_1^v), \qquad \mathbf{C}^{sh} &:= (\mathbf{C}^s, \mathbf{C}^h, \partial_{-,1}^h, \partial_{+,1}^h, \circ^h, i_1^h), \\ \text{where } \mathbf{C}^{sh} \text{ is the category } \mathbf{C}_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{C}^{vo} \text{ is the category } \mathbf{C}_0. \end{split}$$

- ▶ We introduce a cubical notion of coherence, in *n*-categories enriched in double groupoids.
- ► A double category is an internal category $(C_1, C_0, \partial_-^C, \partial_+^C, \circ_C, i_C)$ in Cat, Ehresmann '64.

$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$
$$(C_0)_1 \bigvee (C_1)_1 \bigvee (C_0)_1$$
$$(C_0)_0 \xrightarrow{(C_1)_0} (C_0)_0$$

It gives four related categories

$$\begin{split} C^{vo} &:= (C^v, C^o, \partial_{-,0}^v, \partial_{+,0}^v, \circ^v, i_0^v), \qquad C^{ho} &:= (C^h, C^o, \partial_{-,0}^h, \partial_{+,0}^h, \circ^h, i_0^h), \\ C^{sv} &:= (C^s, C^v, \partial_{-,1}^v, \partial_{+,1}^v, \circ^v, i_1^v), \qquad C^{sh} &:= (C^s, C^h, \partial_{-,1}^h, \partial_{+,1}^h, \circ^h, i_1^h), \\ \text{where } C^{sh} \text{ is the category } C_1 \text{ and } C^{vo} \text{ is the category } C_0. \end{split}$$

Elements of C° : point cells, elements of C^{h} and C^{v} : horizontal cells and vertical cells.



► Elements of C_s are square cells:

$$\partial_{-,\mathbf{1}}^{\vee}(A) \bigvee_{\cdot} \underbrace{\frac{\partial_{-,\mathbf{1}}^{h}(A)}{\bigvee_{\cdot} A}}_{\partial_{+,\mathbf{1}}^{h}(A)} \bigvee_{\cdot} \partial_{+,\mathbf{1}}^{\vee}(A)$$

► Elements of C_s are square cells:

$$\partial_{-,1}^{\vee}(A) \bigvee_{\begin{array}{c} \overset{\partial_{-,1}^{h}(A)}{\bigvee} \\ \overset{\partial_{-,1}^{\vee}(A)}{\bigvee} \\ & \overset{\partial_{+,1}^{\vee}(A)}{\bigvee} \\ & \overset{\partial_{+,1}^{\vee}(A)}{\bigvee} \\ & \overset{\partial_{+,1}^{\vee}(A)}{\bigvee} \\ & \overset{\partial_{+,1}^{\vee}(A)}{\bigvee} \\ & \overset{\partial_{-,1}^{\vee}(A)}{\bigvee} \\ & \overset{\partial_{+,1}^{\vee}(A)}{\bigvee} \\$$

Elements of C_s are square cells:

$$\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\partial_{-,1}^{h}(A)}{\longrightarrow} & \begin{array}{c} x_{1} \xrightarrow{f} x_{2} & x \xrightarrow{i_{0}^{h}(x)} x \\ \stackrel{\partial_{-,1}^{v}(A)}{\longrightarrow} & \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & \stackrel{h}{\rightarrow} \\ \\ \\ & \stackrel{h}{\rightarrow} \\ \\ \\ & \stackrel{h}{\rightarrow} \\ \\ \\ & \stackrel{h}{$$

for all x_i, y_i, z_i in \mathbb{C}° , f_i in \mathbb{C}^h , e_i, e'_i in \mathbb{C}^v and A, A', B in \mathbb{C}^s .

Elements of C_s are square cells:

$$\frac{\partial_{-,1}^{h}(A)}{\partial_{+,1}^{h}(A)} \xrightarrow{q_{+,1}^{h}(A)} , \text{ with identities } i_{0}^{v}(x_{1}) \downarrow \downarrow_{1}^{h}(f) \downarrow_{1}^{i}(x_{2}) \qquad e \downarrow \downarrow_{1}^{i}(e) \downarrow e \\ \xrightarrow{\partial_{+,1}^{h}(A)} \xrightarrow{\partial_{+,1}^{h}(A)} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} x_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} x_{3} \qquad x_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \circ^{h} f_{2}} x_{2} \qquad y \xrightarrow{i_{0}^{h}(y)} y$$

$$\bullet \text{ Compositions } x_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} x_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} x_{3} \qquad x_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \circ^{h} f_{2}} x_{3} \qquad x_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \circ^{h} f_{2}} x_{3} \qquad x_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \circ^{h} f_{2}} y_{3} \qquad y_{1} \xrightarrow{g_{1} \circ^{h} g_{2}} y_{3} \qquad y_{1} \xrightarrow{g_{1} \circ^{h} g_{2}} y_{3} \qquad x_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{2} \to^{h} g_{2}} y_{3} \qquad x_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \to^{h} g_{$$

for all x_i, y_i, z_i in \mathbb{C}° , f_i in \mathbb{C}^h , e_i, e'_i in \mathbb{C}^v and A, A', B in \mathbb{C}^s .

These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:

These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



Double groupoid: double category in which horizontal, vertical and square cells are invertible.

These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



- Double groupoid: double category in which horizontal, vertical and square cells are invertible.
- n-category enriched in double groupoids: n-category C such that any homset C_n(x, y) is a double groupoid.

These compositions satisfy the middle four interchange law:



- Double groupoid: double category in which horizontal, vertical and square cells are invertible.
- n-category enriched in double groupoids: n-category C such that any homset C_n(x, y) is a double groupoid.
- Horizontal (n + 1)-category: category of rewritings, vertical (n + 1)-category: category of modulo rules.

 Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.

 Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.

 Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

 P_0^* P_1

 Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで



 Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで



 Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @



- Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.
 - An *n*-polygraph generates a free *n*-category.



- Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.
 - An *n*-polygraph generates a free *n*-category.



э

- Polygraphs are higher-dimensional generating systems of higher-dimensional globular strict categories.
 - An *n*-polygraph generates a free *n*-category.



An (n-1)-category C is presented by an *n*-polygraph (P_0, \ldots, P_n) if

$$\mathcal{C}\simeq P_{n-1}^*/\equiv_{P_n}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

• A double *n*-polygraph is a data (P^v, P^h, P^s) made of:

- A double *n*-polygraph is a data (P^{v}, P^{h}, P^{s}) made of:
 - two (n + 1)-polygraphs P^{ν} and P^{h} such that $P_{k}^{\nu} = P_{k}^{h}$ for $k \leq n$,



- A double *n*-polygraph is a data (P^v, P^h, P^s) made of:
 - ▶ two (n+1)-polygraphs P^{ν} and P^{h} such that $P_{k}^{\nu} = P_{k}^{h}$ for $k \leq n$,



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

- A double *n*-polygraph is a data (P^{v}, P^{h}, P^{s}) made of:
 - two (n+1)-polygraphs P^{ν} and P^{h} such that $P_{k}^{\nu} = P_{k}^{h}$ for $k \leq n$,
 - a 2-square extension P^s of the pair of (n + 1)-categories ((P^v)^{*}, (P^h)^{*}), that is a set equipped with four maps making Γ a 2-cubical set.



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

- A double n-polygraph is a data (P^v, P^h, P^s) made of:
 - two (n+1)-polygraphs P^v and P^h such that $P_k^v = P_k^h$ for $k \le n$,
 - a 2-square extension P^s of the pair of (n + 1)-categories ((P^v)^{*}, (P^h)^{*}), that is a set equipped with four maps making Γ a 2-cubical set.



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

A double (n + 2, n)-polygraph is a double n-polygraph in which P^s is defined on ((P^v)[⊤], (P^h)[⊤]).

- A double *n*-polygraph is a data (P^v, P^h, P^s) made of:
 - two (n + 1)-polygraphs P^v and P^h such that $P_k^v = P_k^h$ for $k \le n$,
 - a 2-square extension P^s of the pair of (n + 1)-categories ((P^v)^{*}, (P^h)^{*}), that is a set equipped with four maps making Γ a 2-cubical set.



A double (n + 2, n)-polygraph is a double n-polygraph in which P^s is defined on ((P^v)[⊤], (P^h)[⊤]).

A double (n + 2, n)-polygraph (P^v, P^h, P^s) generates a free (n − 1)-category enriched in double groupoids, denoted by (P^v, P^h, P^s)^{|||}.

▶ A 2-square extension P^s of $((P^v)^{\top}, (P^h)^{\top})$ is acyclic if for any square

$$S = (P^{\nu})^{\top} \bigvee_{\substack{i \\ (P^{h})^{\top}}} (P^{\nu})^{\top}$$

▶ A 2-square extension P^s of $((P^v)^{\top}, (P^h)^{\top})$ is acyclic if for any square

$$S = (P^{\nu})^{\top} \bigvee_{(P^{h})^{\top}} (P^{\nu})^{\top}$$

there exists a square (n + 1)-cell A in $(P^v, P^h, P^s)^{\top}$ such that $\partial(A) = S$.

▶ A 2-square extension P^s of $((P^v)^{\top}, (P^h)^{\top})$ is acyclic if for any square

$$S = (P^{\nu})^{\top} \bigvee_{(P^{h})^{\top}} (P^{\nu})^{\top}$$

there exists a square (n + 1)-cell A in $(P^{v}, P^{h}, P^{s})^{\top}$ such that $\partial(A) = S$.

- A 2-fold coherent presentation of an *n*-category C is a double (n + 2, n)-polygraph (P^v, P^h, P^s) such that:
 - the (n + 1)-polygraph $P^{\nu} \coprod P^{h}$ presents C;
 - P^s is acyclic

▶ A 2-square extension P^s of $((P^v)^{\top}, (P^h)^{\top})$ is acyclic if for any square

$$S = (P^{\nu})^{\top} \bigvee_{(P^{h})^{\top}} (P^{\nu})^{\top}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

there exists a square (n + 1)-cell A in $(P^{\nu}, P^{h}, P^{s})^{\top}$ such that $\partial(A) = S$.

- A 2-fold coherent presentation of an *n*-category C is a double (n + 2, n)-polygraph (P^v, P^h, P^s) such that:
 - the (n + 1)-polygraph $P^{v} \coprod P^{h}$ presents C;
 - P^s is acyclic
- **Example:** Let *E* be a convergent (n+1)-polygraph.
Acyclicity

▶ A 2-square extension P^s of $((P^v)^{\top}, (P^h)^{\top})$ is acyclic if for any square

$$S = (P^{\nu})^{\top} \bigvee_{(P^{h})^{\top}} (P^{\nu})^{\top}$$

there exists a square (n + 1)-cell A in $(P^{\nu}, P^{h}, P^{s})^{\top}$ such that $\partial(A) = S$.

- ► A 2-fold coherent presentation of an *n*-category C is a double (*n* + 2, *n*)-polygraph (*P^v*, *P^h*, *P^s*) such that:
 - the (n + 1)-polygraph $P^{\nu} \coprod P^{h}$ presents C;
 - P^s is acyclic

Example: Let *E* be a convergent (n+1)-polygraph. Cd(E) := square extension containing

$$e_{\mathbf{1}\star_{n-1}}e'_{\mathbf{1}}\bigvee_{=}^{-} \bigvee_{=}^{+} e_{\mathbf{2}\star_{n-1}}e'_{\mathbf{2}}$$

for a choice of confluence of any critical branching (e_1, e_2) of E.

Acyclicity

▶ A 2-square extension P^s of $((P^v)^{\top}, (P^h)^{\top})$ is acyclic if for any square

$$S = (P^{\nu})^{\top} \bigvee_{(P^{h})^{\top}} (P^{\nu})^{\top}$$

there exists a square (n + 1)-cell A in $(P^{v}, P^{h}, P^{s})^{\top}$ such that $\partial(A) = S$.

- ► A 2-fold coherent presentation of an *n*-category C is a double (*n* + 2, *n*)-polygraph (*P^v*, *P^h*, *P^s*) such that:
 - the (n + 1)-polygraph P^v ∐ P^h presents C;
 - P^s is acyclic

Example: Let *E* be a convergent (n+1)-polygraph. Cd(E) := square extension containing

$$\stackrel{\cdot}{\underset{e_1 \star_{n-1} e_1'}{\overset{\cdot}}} \bigvee \stackrel{-}{\underset{=}{\overset{-}{\overset{}}}} \stackrel{-}{\underset{=}{\overset{\cdot}{\overset{}}}} \stackrel{-}{\underset{=}{\overset{\cdot}{\overset{}}}} \stackrel{-}{\underset{e_1 \star_{n-1} e_2'}{\overset{\cdot}}}$$

⇒ ≥ √20

for a choice of confluence of any critical branching (e_1, e_2) of E.

From Squier's theorem, $(E, \emptyset, Cd(E))$ is a 2-fold coherent presentation of **C**.

III. Polygraphs modulo

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

A *n*-polygraph modulo is a data (R, E, S) made of

A *n*-polygraph modulo is a data (R, E, S) made of

▶ an *n*-polygraph *R* of primary rules,

A *n*-polygraph modulo is a data (R, E, S) made of

- ▶ an *n*-polygraph *R* of primary rules,
- ▶ an *n*-polygraph *E* such that $E_k = R_k$ for $k \le n-2$ and $E_{n-1} \subseteq R_{n-1}$, of modulo rules,

A *n*-polygraph modulo is a data (R, E, S) made of

- ▶ an *n*-polygraph *R* of primary rules,
- ▶ an *n*-polygraph *E* such that $E_k = R_k$ for $k \le n-2$ and $E_{n-1} \subseteq R_{n-1}$, of modulo rules,

▶ S is a cellular extension of R_{n-1}^* such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq {}_{E}R_{E}$,

A *n*-polygraph modulo is a data (R, E, S) made of

- an *n*-polygraph *R* of primary rules,
- ▶ an *n*-polygraph *E* such that $E_k = R_k$ for $k \le n-2$ and $E_{n-1} \subseteq R_{n-1}$, of modulo rules,
- ▶ S is a cellular extension of R_{n-1}^* such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq {}_ER_E$, where the cellular extension ${}_ER_E$ is defined by

$$\gamma^{E^{R_{E}}}: {}_{E}R_{E} \to \operatorname{Sph}_{n-1}(R_{n-1}^{*})$$

where $_{E}R_{E}$ is the set of triples (e, f, e') in $E^{\top} \times R^{*(1)} \times E^{\top}$ such that



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の < ○

A *n*-polygraph modulo is a data (R, E, S) made of

- an *n*-polygraph *R* of primary rules,
- ▶ an *n*-polygraph *E* such that $E_k = R_k$ for $k \le n-2$ and $E_{n-1} \subseteq R_{n-1}$, of modulo rules,
- ▶ S is a cellular extension of R_{n-1}^* such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq {}_ER_E$, where the cellular extension ${}_ER_E$ is defined by

$$\gamma^{E^{R_{E}}}: {}_{E}R_{E} \to \operatorname{Sph}_{n-1}(R_{n-1}^{*})$$

where $_{E}R_{E}$ is the set of triples (e, f, e') in $E^{\top} \times R^{*(1)} \times E^{\top}$ such that



and the map γE^{R_E} is defined by $\gamma E^{R_E}(e, f, e') = (\partial_{-,n-1}(e), \partial_{+,n-1}(e')).$

A branching modulo E of the n-polygraph modulo S is a triple (f, e, g) where f and g are in Sⁿ_n and e is in E[¬]_n, such that:



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ()

A branching modulo E of the n-polygraph modulo S is a triple (f, e, g) where f and g are in Sⁿ_n and e is in E[¬]_n, such that:



▶ It is local if f is in $S_n^{*(1)}$, g is in S_n^* and e in E_n^{\top} such that $\ell(g) + \ell(e) = 1$.



A branching modulo E of the n-polygraph modulo S is a triple (f, e, g) where f and g are in Sⁿ_n and e is in E[¬]_n, such that:



▶ It is local if f is in $S_n^{*(1)}$, g is in S_n^* and e in E_n^{\top} such that $\ell(g) + \ell(e) = 1$.

▶ It is confluent modulo *E* if there exists f', g' in S_n^* and e' in E_n^\top :



A branching modulo E of the n-polygraph modulo S is a triple (f, e, g) where f and g are in Sⁿ_n and e is in E^T_n, such that:



▶ It is local if f is in $S_n^{*(1)}$, g is in S_n^* and e in E_n^{\top} such that $\ell(g) + \ell(e) = 1$.

▶ It is confluent modulo *E* if there exists f', g' in S_n^* and e' in E_n^\top :



Confluence modulo E (resp. local confluence modulo E): any branching (resp. local branching) of S modulo E is confluent modulo E.

IV. Coherence modulo

• We consider Γ a 2-square extension of (E^{\top}, S^*) .

- We consider Γ a 2-square extension of (E^{\top}, S^*) .
- ► A branching modulo *E* is Γ -confluent modulo *E* if there exist f', g' in S_n^* , e' in E_n^{\top}



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

- We consider Γ a 2-square extension of (E^{\top}, S^*) .
- ► A branching modulo *E* is Γ -confluent modulo *E* if there exist f', g' in S_n^*, e' in E_n^\top and a square-cell *A* in $(E, S, E \rtimes \Gamma \cup \text{Peiff}(E, S))^{\top \top, v}$:



- We consider Γ a 2-square extension of (E^{\top}, S^*) .
- ► A branching modulo *E* is Γ -confluent modulo *E* if there exist f', g' in S_n^*, e' in E_n^\top and a square-cell *A* in $(E, S, E \rtimes \Gamma \cup \text{Peiff}(E, S))^{\top \top, v}$:



(E, S, -)^T,^ν is the free *n*-category enriched in double categories generated by (E, S, −), in which all vertical cells are invertible.

- We consider Γ a 2-square extension of (E^{\top}, S^*) .
- ► A branching modulo *E* is Γ -confluent modulo *E* if there exist f', g' in S_n^*, e' in E_n^\top and a square-cell *A* in $(E, S, E \rtimes \Gamma \cup \text{Peiff}(E, S))^{\top \top, v}$:



- (E, S, -)^T,^ν is the free *n*-category enriched in double categories generated by (E, S, −), in which all vertical cells are invertible.
- Peiff(*E*, *S*) is the 2-square extension containing the following squares for all $e, e' \in E^{\top}$ and $f \in S^*$.

<i>t</i> *;v		w*it	
		w *i u	
u*;e	y " *;e	e'*;uv	ve' * i u'
$u \star_i v' \longrightarrow u' \star_i v'$		$w' \star_i u \longrightarrow w' \star_i u'$	
f * i v'		w' *if	

- We consider Γ a 2-square extension of (E^{\top}, S^*) .
- ► A branching modulo *E* is Γ -confluent modulo *E* if there exist f', g' in S_n^*, e' in E_n^\top and a square-cell *A* in $(E, S, E \rtimes \Gamma \cup \text{Peiff}(E, S))^{\top \top, v}$:



- (E, S, -)^T,^v is the free *n*-category enriched in double categories generated by (E, S, −), in which all vertical cells are invertible.
- ▶ Peiff(*E*, *S*) is the 2-square extension containing the following squares for all $e, e' \in E^{\top}$ and $f \in S^*$.



E ⋊ Γ is to avoid "redundant" elements in Γ for different squares corresponding to the same branching of S modulo E:



S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- **Theorem.** [D.-Malbos '18] If $_{E}R_{E}$ is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- **Theorem.** [D.-Malbos '18] If $_{E}R_{E}$ is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

S is Γ-confluent modulo E;

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- **Theorem.** [D.-Malbos '18] If $_{E}R_{E}$ is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E;
- S is locally Γ-confluent modulo E;

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- **Theorem.** [D.-Malbos '18] If $_{E}R_{E}$ is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:
 - S is Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S is locally Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S satisfies properties a) and b):

$$\mathbf{a}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \| \bigvee \\ u \xrightarrow{V} v \xrightarrow{V} v' \\ u \xrightarrow{V} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} w' \\ w \xrightarrow{V} v' \\ v' \xrightarrow{V} v' \xrightarrow{V} v' \\ v' \xrightarrow{$$

for any local branching of S modulo E.

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- ▶ Theorem. [D.-Malbos '18] If _ER_E is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:
 - S is Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S is locally Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S satisfies properties a) and b):

$$\mathbf{a}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \| \bigvee & \stackrel{\otimes}{\longrightarrow} v \xrightarrow{Y^{*}} v' \\ u \xrightarrow{Y^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{Y^{*}} w' \\ u \xrightarrow{Y^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} w' \end{array} \mathbf{b}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \varepsilon^{\top}(\mathbf{1}) \bigvee & \stackrel{\otimes}{\bigvee} \varepsilon^{T^{*}} \\ u' \xrightarrow{Y^{*}} w \xrightarrow{Y^{*}} w' \\ u' \xrightarrow{S^{*}} w' \end{array}$$

for any local branching of S modulo E.

S satisfies properties a) and b) for any critical branching of S modulo E.

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- ▶ Theorem. [D.-Malbos '18] If _ER_E is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:
 - S is Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S is locally Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S satisfies properties a) and b):

$$\mathbf{a}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \| \bigvee & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} w \xrightarrow{S^{*}} w' \\ u \xrightarrow{S^{*}} w' \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ E^{\top}(\mathbf{1}) \bigvee & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v & \downarrow \\ v & \downarrow \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \xrightarrow{$$

for any local branching of S modulo E.

- S satisfies properties a) and b) for any critical branching of S modulo E.
- For $S = {}_{E}R$, property **b**) is trivially satisfied.

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- ▶ Theorem. [D.-Malbos '18] If _ER_E is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:
 - S is Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S is locally Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S satisfies properties a) and b):

$$\mathbf{a}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \| \bigvee \\ v \xrightarrow{V} V \\ u \xrightarrow{V} V \\ R^{*}(\mathbf{1}) \end{array} \xrightarrow{W} \begin{array}{c} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \psi \in T \\ S^{*} \end{array} \qquad \mathbf{b}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \varepsilon^{\top}(\mathbf{1}) \\ \psi & V \\ u' \xrightarrow{V} V \\ S^{*} \end{array} \xrightarrow{V} V'$$

for any local branching of S modulo E.

S satisfies properties a) and b) for any critical branching of S modulo E.

For $S = {}_{E}R$, property **b**) is trivially satisfied.

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- **Theorem.** [D.-Malbos '18] If $_{ER_{E}}$ is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:
 - ► S is Γ -confluent modulo E;
 - S is locally Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S satisfies properties a) and b):

$$\mathbf{a}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \| \bigvee & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ v \xrightarrow{V} & \downarrow \\ u \xrightarrow{V} & \downarrow \\ R^{*}(\mathbf{1})} & \downarrow \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} b \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \\$$

for any local branching of S modulo E.

S satisfies properties a) and b) for any critical branching of S modulo E.

For
$$S = {}_{E}R$$
, property **b**) is trivially satisfied.

$$\begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{f} v \\ e \downarrow \\ v' \xrightarrow{\cdots} v \\ e^{-if} \\ d \xrightarrow{r} if \\ d \xrightarrow{r} i$$

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E (resp. locally Γ-confluent modulo E) if any of its branching modulo E (resp. local branching modulo E) is Γ-confluent modulo E.
- **Theorem.** [D.-Malbos '18] If $_{ER_{E}}$ is terminating, the following assertions are equivalent:
 - ► S is Γ -confluent modulo E;
 - S is locally Γ-confluent modulo E;
 - S satisfies properties a) and b):

$$\mathbf{a}): \qquad \begin{array}{c} u \xrightarrow{S^{*}(\mathbf{1})} v \xrightarrow{S^{*}} v' \\ \| \bigvee & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ v \xrightarrow{V} & \downarrow \\ u \xrightarrow{V} & \downarrow \\ R^{*}(\mathbf{1})} & \downarrow \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} b \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \\$$

for any local branching of S modulo E.

- S satisfies properties a) and b) for any critical branching of S modulo E.
- For $S = {}_{E}R$, property **b**) is trivially satisfied.



► A set X of (n-1)-cells in R_{n-1}^* is *E*-normalizing with respect to S if for any u in X, NF(S, u) \cap Irr(E) $\neq \emptyset$.

A set X of (n-1)-cells in R_{n-1}^* is E-normalizing with respect to S if for any u in X,

 $NF(S, u) \cap Irr(E) \neq \emptyset.$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Theorem. [D.-Malbos '18] Let (R, E, S) be an n-polygraph modulo, and Γ be a square extension of (E^T, S*) such that

E is convergent,

- S is Γ-confluent modulo E,
- Irr(E) is E-normalizing with respect to S,
- ERE is terminating,

then $E \rtimes \Gamma \cup \text{Peiff}(E, S) \cup \text{Cd}(E)$ is acyclic.

Coherent completion

• Coherent completion modulo *E* of *S*: square extension of (E^{\top}, S^{\top}) containing square cells $A_{f,g}$ and $B_{f,e}$:



for any critical branchings (f, g) and (f, e) of S modulo E.

Coherent completion

• Coherent completion modulo *E* of *S*: square extension of (E^{\top}, S^{\top}) containing square cells $A_{f,g}$ and $B_{f,e}$:



for any critical branchings (f, g) and (f, e) of S modulo E.

- **Corollary.** [D.-Malbos '18] Let (R, E, S) be an *n*-polygraph modulo such that
 - E is convergent,
 - S is confluent modulo E,
 - Irr(E) is E-normalizing with respect to S,
 - ERE is terminating,

For any coherent completion Γ of S modulo E, $E \rtimes \Gamma \cup \text{Peiff}(E, S) \cup \text{Cd}(E)$ is acyclic.

Coherent completion

• Coherent completion modulo *E* of *S*: square extension of (E^{\top}, S^{\top}) containing square cells $A_{f,g}$ and $B_{f,e}$:



for any critical branchings (f, g) and (f, e) of S modulo E.

- **Corollary.** [D.-Malbos '18] Let (R, E, S) be an *n*-polygraph modulo such that
 - **E** is convergent,
 - S is confluent modulo E,
 - Irr(E) is E-normalizing with respect to S,
 - ERE is terminating,

For any coherent completion Γ of S modulo E, $E \rtimes \Gamma \cup \text{Peiff}(E, S) \cup \text{Cd}(E)$ is acyclic.

Corollary: Usual Squier's theorem. $(E = \emptyset)$

Example: diagrammatic rewriting modulo isotopy

▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:
▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:

 $E_0 = R_0 = \{*\},\$

(ロ)、

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

- ▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:
 - $E_0 = R_0 = \{*\},\$
 - $\blacktriangleright E_1 = R_1 = \{\land, \lor\},\$

▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:

- $E_0 = R_0 = \{*\},\$
- $\blacktriangleright E_1 = R_1 = \{\land, \lor\},\$

$$\blacktriangleright E_2 = \left\{ (\begin{subarray}{c} & (\begin{subarray$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:

$$\begin{array}{c} E_{0} = R_{0} = \{*\}, \\ F_{1} = R_{1} = \{\land, \lor\}, \\ \hline E_{2} = \left\{ \swarrow, \uparrow \smile, \land \uparrow, \uparrow \uparrow, \downarrow \right\} \\ \hline E_{3} = \left\{ \swarrow^{\mu} \Rightarrow \downarrow^{\mu}, \uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu}, \checkmark^{\mu} \Rightarrow \downarrow^{\mu}, \uparrow_{\mu} \uparrow \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu} \text{ for } \mu \text{ in } \{0, 1\}, \\ \hline \uparrow \Rightarrow \bigcirc \uparrow, \uparrow \uparrow \Rightarrow \bigcirc \uparrow, \uparrow \bigcirc \Rightarrow \bigcirc \uparrow, \uparrow \uparrow \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu} \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

(ロ)、

▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:

$$E_{0} = R_{0} = \{*\},$$

$$E_{1} = R_{1} = \{\land,\lor\},$$

$$E_{2} = \left\{ \swarrow, \uparrow \lor, \land \land \uparrow, \uparrow \downarrow \right\} \qquad R_{2} = E_{2} \coprod \left\{ \swarrow, \checkmark, \checkmark \right\}$$

$$E_{3} = \left\{ \biguplus^{\mu} \Rightarrow \oint^{\mu}, \land \uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu}, \checkmark \checkmark \uparrow^{\mu} \Rightarrow \oint^{\mu}, \uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu} \text{ for } \mu \text{ in } \{0,1\}, \downarrow \uparrow^{\mu} \Rightarrow \downarrow^{\mu}, \uparrow^{\mu} \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow^{\mu} \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow^{\mu}$$

(ロ)、

▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:

$$E_{0} = R_{0} = \{*\},$$

$$E_{1} = R_{1} = \{\land, \lor\},$$

$$E_{2} = \left\{ \swarrow, \uparrow \smile, \land \land \uparrow, \uparrow \uparrow, \downarrow \right\} \qquad R_{2} = E_{2} \coprod \left\{ \bigwedge^{r} \swarrow, \checkmark^{r} \land \checkmark^{r} \right\}$$

$$E_{3} = \left\{ \biguplus^{\mu} \Rightarrow \oint^{\mu}, \land \Uparrow^{\mu} \uparrow \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu}, \checkmark^{\mu} \Rightarrow \oint^{\mu}, \land \checkmark^{\mu} \Rightarrow \uparrow^{\mu} \text{ for } \mu \text{ in } \{0, 1\}, \land \checkmark^{r} \Rightarrow \circlearrowright^{r} \land \checkmark^{r} \Rightarrow \checkmark^{r} \land \land^{r} \land$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \blacktriangleright R_3 = \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ \rightleftharpoons \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \checkmark \\ \clubsuit \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \checkmark \\ \clubsuit \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \checkmark \\ \clubsuit \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right), \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \land \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \land \end{array} \right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

▶ Let *E* and *R* be two 3-polygraphs defined by:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Facts:
 - E is convergent.
 - ERE is terminating.
 - \triangleright_{ER} is confluent modulo *E*.

We proved a coherence result for polygraphs modulo.

We proved a coherence result for polygraphs modulo.

How to weaken E-normalization assumption ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

▶ We proved a coherence result for polygraphs modulo.

- How to weaken E-normalization assumption ?
- Is any polygraph modulo Tietze-equivalent to an E-normalizing polygraph modulo ?

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

We proved a coherence result for polygraphs modulo.

- How to weaken E-normalization assumption ?
- Is any polygraph modulo Tietze-equivalent to an E-normalizing polygraph modulo ?
- Explicit a quotient of a square extension by all modulo rules.
- Constructions extended to the linear setting.
 - Linear bases from termination (or quasi-termination) or $_{E}R_{E}$ and confluence of R modulo E.

▶ We proved a coherence result for polygraphs modulo.

- How to weaken E-normalization assumption ?
- Is any polygraph modulo Tietze-equivalent to an E-normalizing polygraph modulo ?

Explicit a quotient of a square extension by all modulo rules.

- Constructions extended to the linear setting.
 - Linear bases from termination (or quasi-termination) or $_{E}R_{E}$ and confluence of R modulo E.

Work in progress:

We proved a coherence result for polygraphs modulo.

- How to weaken E-normalization assumption ?
- Is any polygraph modulo Tietze-equivalent to an E-normalizing polygraph modulo ?

Explicit a quotient of a square extension by all modulo rules.

Constructions extended to the linear setting.

Linear bases from termination (or quasi-termination) or $_{ERE}$ and confluence of R modulo E.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Work in progress:

Rise this construction in dimensions, in n-categories enriched in p-fold groupoids.

We proved a coherence result for polygraphs modulo.

- How to weaken E-normalization assumption ?
- Is any polygraph modulo Tietze-equivalent to an E-normalizing polygraph modulo ?

Explicit a quotient of a square extension by all modulo rules.

Constructions extended to the linear setting.

Linear bases from termination (or quasi-termination) or $_{ERE}$ and confluence of R modulo E.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Work in progress:

- Rise this construction in dimensions, in *n*-categories enriched in *p*-fold groupoids.
- Formalize these constructions with rewriting modulo all the algebraic axioms.

Thank you !