

Artin glueings of frames and toposes as semidirect products

Peter Faul

`peter@faul.io`

University of Cambridge

CT 2019, Edinburgh

Artin glueings of topological spaces

Let $N = (|N|, \mathcal{O}(N))$ and $H = (|H|, \mathcal{O}(H))$ be topological spaces.

What topological spaces $G = (|G|, \mathcal{O}(G))$ satisfy that H is an open subspace and N its closed complement?

Such a space G we call an Artin glueing of H by N .

It must be that $|G| = |N| \sqcup |H|$.

Each open $U \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ then corresponds to a pair (U_N, U_H) where $U_N = U \cap N$ and $U_H = U \cap H$.

Thus $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is isomorphic to the frame L_G of certain pairs (U_N, U_H) with componentwise union and intersection.

The associated meet-preserving map

For each $U \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ there is a largest open $V \in \mathcal{O}(N)$ such that (V, U) occurs in L_G .

Let $f_G: \mathcal{O}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N)$ be a function which assigns to each $U \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ the largest V .

This function preserves finite meets.

We have that $(V, U) \in L_G$ if and only if $V \subseteq f(U)$.

Given any finite-meet preserving map $f: \mathcal{O}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N)$ we can construct a frame $\text{Gl}(f)$ as above.

This frame $\text{Gl}(f)$ will satisfy the required properties and we call it the Artin glueing of f .

Semidirect products of groups are analogous

Let N and H be groups.

A semidirect product of H by N is a group G satisfying the following conditions.

1. H is a subgroup and N a normal subgroup.
2. $N \cap H = \{e\}$.
3. $N \vee H = NH = \{nh : n \in N, h \in H\} = G$.

Conditions 2 and 3 together say that N and H are complements.

Every such group G is determined by a unique group homomorphism $\alpha: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$.

Split extensions of groups

A diagram $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xleftarrow[s]{e} H$ is a split extension when the following hold:

1. k is the kernel of e ,
2. e is the cokernel of k ,
3. $se = \text{id}$.

Every semidirect product of N and H yields a split extension.

Every split extension is of this form.

Every element $g \in G$ can be written $g = k(n)s(h)$ for $n \in N$ and $h \in H$. Thus G is generated by the images of k and s .

Artin glueings of frames as extensions

We want a pointed category in which there is a class of extensions of H by N which are precisely the Artin glueings of N and H .

The usual category of locales is no good as it does not have zero morphisms.

Instead consider the category \mathbf{RFrm} whose objects are frames and whose morphisms are finite-meet preserving maps.

The maps $\top_{X,Y}: X \rightarrow Y$ sending each element of X to the top element 1 of Y form a class of zero morphisms.

Cokernels

The cokernel of a morphism $f: N \rightarrow G$ in \mathbf{RFrm} exists and is $e: G \rightarrow \downarrow f(0)$, where $e(g) = g \wedge f(0)$.

We call such a map a **normal epimorphism**.

Furthermore e has a right adjoint section $e_*(x) = (f(0) \Rightarrow x)$.

- Let $t: G \rightarrow X$ be such that $tf = \top$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N & \xrightarrow{f} & G & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{e} \\ \xleftarrow{e_*} \end{array} & H \\ & & & \searrow t & \downarrow te_* \\ & & & & X \end{array}$$

- If $e(x) = e(y)$ (i.e. $x \wedge f(0) = y \wedge f(0)$) then $t(x) = t(x) \wedge 1 = t(x) \wedge t(f(0)) = t(x \wedge f(0)) = t(y \wedge f(0)) = t(y)$
- Because $e(e_*e(x)) = e(x)$ we have that $t(e_*e(x)) = t(x)$.
- Thus $t = te_*e$ and te_* is the unique such map as e is epic.

Kernels do not always exist in \mathbf{RFrm} , but kernels of normal epis do.

The kernel of a normal epi $e: G \rightarrow \downarrow u$ is the inclusion $k: \uparrow u \hookrightarrow G$.

It has a left adjoint $k^*(x) = x \vee u$.

Split extensions in \mathbf{RFrm} are of the form $\uparrow u \xrightarrow{k} G \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{e} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} \downarrow u$.

Notice that $\uparrow u$ is the closed sublocale corresponding to u and that $\downarrow u$ is isomorphic to the open sublocale corresponding to u .

Only the splitting remains mysterious.

Schreier-type extensions and the splitting

Recall that if $N \triangleright \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightleftharpoons[s]{e} H$ is a split extension of groups, then each element of G can be written $g = k(n)s(h)$.

For split extensions of monoids this does always hold. Split extensions where this holds are called weakly Schreier.

In \mathbf{RFrm} a split extension will be weakly Schreier if and only if s is the right adjoint of e .

Thus a weakly Schreier extension is always of the form

$\uparrow u \triangleright \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightleftharpoons[e_*]{e} \downarrow u$. It is entirely determined by the normal epi e .

Artin glueings are weakly Schreier

Recall that the Artin glueing $\text{Gl}(f)$ of a finite-meet preserving map $f: H \rightarrow N$ is the frame of pairs (n, h) where $n \leq f(h)$.

We have projections $\pi_1: \text{Gl}(f) \rightarrow N$ and $\pi_2: \text{Gl}(f) \rightarrow H$ which preserve finite meets.

These projections have right adjoints which lie in RFrm .

1. $\pi_{1*}(n) = (n, 1)$.
2. $\pi_{2*}(h) = (f(h), h)$.

We have that $N \xrightarrow{\pi_{1*}} \text{Gl}(f) \xrightleftharpoons[\pi_{2*}]{\pi_2} H$ is a weakly Schreier extension.

Think of π_2 as the map $(-) \wedge (0, 1): \text{Gl}(f) \rightarrow \downarrow(0, 1)$

Notice that f can be recovered via $f = \pi_1 \pi_{2*}$.

All extensions are Artin glueings

From a weakly Schreier extension $N \triangleright \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightleftharpoons[e_*]{e} H$ we can form

$$N \triangleright \xrightarrow{\pi_{1*}} \mathrm{Gl}(k^*e_*) \xrightleftharpoons[\pi_{2*}]{\pi_2} H.$$

The frames G and $\mathrm{Gl}(k^*e_*)$ are isomorphic

1. $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}(k^*e_*)$ sends g to $(k^*(g), e(g))$,
2. $f^{-1}: \mathrm{Gl}(k^*e_*) \rightarrow G$ sends (n, h) to $k(n) \wedge e_*(h)$.

Furthermore f and f^{-1} make the three squares below commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N & \xrightarrow{k} & G & \xrightleftharpoons[e_*]{e} & H \\ \parallel & & \uparrow f^{-1} \downarrow f & & \parallel \\ N & \xrightarrow{\pi_{1*}} & \mathrm{Gl}(k^*e_*) & \xrightleftharpoons[\pi_{1*}]{\pi_1} & H \end{array}$$

Further thoughts

Since Artin glueings correspond to finite-meet preserving maps, we have that $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ is the bifunctor of weakly Schreier extensions.

The homsets of \mathbf{RFrm} are actually meet-semilattices. This operation gives a Baer sum on our extensions.

P. Faul and G. Manuell. *Artin glueings of frames as semidirect products*: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05104>

The Artin glueing construction works on toposes and there is an analogue on that level.

Artin glueings of toposes

Given toposes \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{H} we can ask for which toposes \mathbf{G} is \mathbf{H} an open subtopos and \mathbf{N} its closed complement.

Such toposes will always be determined by a left exact functor $F: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ in the following way.

- The objects are triples (N, H, ℓ) such that $\ell: N \rightarrow F(H)$
- The morphisms are pairs $(f, g): (N, H, \ell) \rightarrow (N', H', \ell')$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{f} & N' \\ \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \ell' \\ F(H) & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(H') \end{array}$$

commutes.

We write $\mathbf{Gl}(F)$ and call this topos the Artin glueing along F .

Categorifying the idea for frames

We can consider the 2-category \mathbf{RTopos} of toposes with left exact functors and natural transformations.

Between any two toposes there are left exact functors that send every object in the domain to a terminal object in the codomain. These behave like zero morphisms.

We can define the 2-cokernel of a left exact functor F as the 2-coequaliser of F with a zero morphism. Similarly we can define the 2-kernel.

2-Cokernels and 2-kernels

2-Cokernels always exist.

Given a left exact functor F the 2-cokernel is the open subtopos $\mathfrak{D}_{F(0)}$ corresponding to the subterminal $F(0)$.

2-Kernels in general do not exist but 2-kernels of 2-cokernels $E: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_U$ do exist.

It is given by the inclusion of the full subcategory of objects sent to a terminal object by E .

This turns out to be the inclusion $K: \mathfrak{C}_U \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ of the closed subtopos corresponding to the subterminal U .

Adjoint extensions

An adjoint extension is a diagram $\mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{K} \mathbf{G} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{E_*} \end{array} \mathbf{H}$ where

1. K is the 2-kernel of E and
2. E is the 2-cokernel of K .

We see that the adjoint extensions in \mathbf{RTopos} are all of the form

$$\mathfrak{C}_U \xrightarrow{K} \mathbf{G} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{E_*} \end{array} \mathfrak{D}_U.$$

But this is the inclusion of complemented subtoposes, so this is an Artin glueing.

\mathbf{G} is given by $\text{Gl}(K^* E_*)$.

Further thoughts

Similarly we can think of Hom as giving an extension bifunctor.

Since $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ returns finitely complete categories we should be able to compute limits of extensions.

There seems to be a relationship to recollements, the additive (or triangulated) version of Artin glueings.